

**Read :** Application for determination dt.01.07.2014 by M/s. Madhu Malti Enterprises holder of TIN-27715254581V.

**Heard:** Nobody attended.

### PROCEEDINGS

(under section-56(1) of the MVAT Act, 2002 read with rule-64(3)(d) of the MVAT Rules, 2005)

No.DDQ-11/2014/Adm-6/16/B- 10

Mumbai, dt: 28/11/2014

M/s. Madhu Malti Enterprises ('the applicant') carrying on business at D Block, 1<sup>st</sup> Floor, Zojwala Complex, Sahajanand Chowk, Agra Road, Kalyan west-421, had requested determination of the following question:

"Whether an "Agreement for Sale of a flat" by a builder can be held to be a "Sale" liable to MVAT?"

02. By letter dated 03.11.2014 the applicant was duly and properly apprised of the steps taken by the Sales Tax Department, Maharashtra State, to adequately clarify such and other issues as are involved in the present proceedings. The applicant was also apprised of the Hon.Bombay High Court and the Hon.Supreme Court judgements on the issues involved. A view was expressed therein that the determination proceedings may not be required in view of inherent provisions, abundant clarification and rulings of the Hon.Courts. However, the applicant was also requested to attend for a hearing in the matter on Thursday, the 27<sup>th</sup> November 2014 in the event that the view as expressed was not acceptable. It was duly cautioned that in the event of failure to attend the hearing, it would be presumed that the applicant has nothing to say in the matter and the application would be liable to be rejected summarily in terms of rule-64(3) of the Maharashtra Value Added Tax Rules, 2005.

03. The applicant has failed to attend on the aforesaid date either in person or through his authorized representative. In view thereof, it is inferred that the applicant has nothing to say in the matter and that the view as expressed is acceptable to him. The application, therefore, requires to be rejected summarily.


### ORDER

(under section-56(1) of the MVAT Act, 2002 read with rule-64(3)(d) of the MVAT Rules, 2005)

No.DDQ-11/2014/Adm-6/16/B- 10

Mumbai, dt: 28/11/2014

For reasons as discussed in the body of the order, the application for determination dt.01.07.2014 is rejected summarily.

  
(DR. NITIN KAREER)  
COMMISSIONER OF SALES TAX,  
MAHARASHTRA STATE, MUMBAI.

